



The Impact of Entrepreneurship Education Programs (EEPs) on Students' Entrepreneurial Interests

Taufik Samsuri¹, Saiful Prayogi^{1*}, Ni Nyoman Sri Putu Verawati²

¹Mandalika University of Education, Mataram 83126, Indonesia

²Department of Physics Education, University of Mataram, Mataram 83125, Indonesia

*Corresponding Author e-mail: saifulprayogi@undikma.ac.id

Received: September 2023; Revised: September 2023; Published: October 2023

Abstract

This study delves into the impact of Entrepreneurship Education Programs (EEPs) at universities on fostering students' entrepreneurial interests, employing a qualitative approach to gauge the effectiveness of these programs. Through in-depth interviews with 20 students participating in an EEP at a private university, the research uncovers a significant gap between the theoretical knowledge imparted by these programs and the practical skills and motivations needed to pursue entrepreneurship. The findings reveal that while students value the theoretical underpinnings of entrepreneurship taught in these programs, the lack of hands-on, experiential learning opportunities and direct engagement with successful entrepreneurs leaves them feeling underprepared and insufficiently inspired to embark on entrepreneurial ventures. Students expressed a strong desire for EEPs to incorporate more real-world applications, such as internships, project collaborations, and mentorship from seasoned entrepreneurs, to bridge the gap between academic learning and practical entrepreneurial experience. The study concludes with recommendations for universities to redesign EEPs to include a greater emphasis on experiential learning and engagement with the entrepreneurial ecosystem, aiming to better equip students with the entrepreneurial mindset, skills, and networks necessary to succeed in their entrepreneurial endeavors. By highlighting these insights, the study contributes to the ongoing discourse on the optimization of entrepreneurship education to more effectively cultivate the next generation of innovators and entrepreneurs, underscoring the need for a more integrated approach that balances theoretical knowledge with practical application and inspiration.

Keywords: Entrepreneurship education programs, entrepreneurial interests, theoretical knowledge, practical skills

How to Cite: Samsuri, T., Prayogi, S., & Verawati, N. (2023). The Impact of Entrepreneurship Education Programs (EEPs) on Students' Entrepreneurial Interests. *Prisma Sains : Jurnal Pengkajian Ilmu dan Pembelajaran Matematika dan IPA IKIP Mataram*, 11(4), 1145-1152. doi:<https://doi.org/10.33394/j-ps.v11i4.11183>



<https://doi.org/10.33394/j-ps.v11i4.11183>

Copyright© 2023, Samsuri et al.

This is an open-access article under the [CC-BY](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License.



INTRODUCTION

In the current landscape of higher education, entrepreneurship education programs (EEPs) have gained prominence as institutions worldwide acknowledge the importance of cultivating entrepreneurial skills among students (Bae et al., 2014). This shift towards integrating entrepreneurship into curricula is not only a response to the demand for job creators but also a recognition of entrepreneurship's capacity to tackle societal challenges through innovation (Zhang et al., 2013). Early institutions that spearheaded entrepreneurship education understood that it transcends business establishment, emphasizing a culture of innovation and resilience (Piperopoulos & Dimov, 2014). What initially began as a few courses has developed into comprehensive programs across various disciplines, blending theory with hands-on experiences (Cao & Jiang, 2017). This progression highlights the interdisciplinary nature of entrepreneurship, drawing from fields such as economics, psychology, sociology, and technology, necessitating a comprehensive educational approach (Kuratko, 2005).

Entrepreneurship education aims to furnish students not only with academic knowledge but also with the mindset, skills, and adaptability crucial for navigating the dynamic future job market (Wei et al., 2023). Research indicates that entrepreneurship education positively impacts students' entrepreneurial intentions, underscoring the role of education in fostering an entrepreneurial mindset (Byun et al., 2018). Furthermore, there is a growing focus on the quality of entrepreneurship education in colleges and universities, with an emphasis on concepts, models, challenges, and enhancement strategies (Yue, 2021). Studies on the effectiveness of entrepreneurship education programs emphasize the importance of a curriculum that extends beyond traditional classroom teaching to encompass non-academic learning experiences (Shahidi, 2022). In conclusion, the integration of entrepreneurship education into higher education signifies a strategic endeavor to prepare students for the intricacies of the modern economy by instilling entrepreneurial thinking, skills, and self-efficacy. This shift in educational paradigm underscores the significance of equipping students with the tools not only to excel in their chosen fields but also to innovate, create value, and contribute meaningfully to society.

EEPs have evolved to foster an entrepreneurial mindset among students across various academic disciplines, emphasizing creativity, proactive idea implementation, and resilience in the face of failure (Bell & Bell, 2020). These programs aim to equip students with the skills necessary to transform their innovative ideas into successful ventures, thereby contributing to economic growth and diversification (Bell & Bell, 2020). Moreover, entrepreneurship education is increasingly recognized as a catalyst for societal change, enabling students to address social issues through sustainable business practices and social entrepreneurship (Bell & Bell, 2020).

However, the implementation of entrepreneurship education encounters challenges. Critics argue that entrepreneurship is a trait that is honed through real-world experiences rather than traditional classroom instruction, posing a fundamental challenge to teaching entrepreneurship (Kuratko, 2005). Additionally, there is an ongoing debate regarding the most effective pedagogical approaches for entrepreneurship education, with differing opinions on whether to focus on theoretical foundations or experiential learning (Kuratko, 2005). Furthermore, assessing the success of EEPs is complex, as the impact of entrepreneurship education may not be immediately observable and can vary significantly among individuals (Duval-Couetil, 2013).

While entrepreneurship education has expanded and shown promise in nurturing entrepreneurial skills among students from diverse backgrounds, challenges persist in terms of its implementation and assessment. Addressing these challenges requires a nuanced understanding of pedagogical practices, the incorporation of experiential learning, and ongoing research to evaluate the effectiveness of entrepreneurship education programs. Specifically, the current research aims to assess the extent to which entrepreneurship education programs (EEPs) at universities influence the development of students' interests in entrepreneurship.

Theoretical Background

Entrepreneurship education is based on the premise that entrepreneurial skills can be taught and acquired. These educational initiatives aim to equip students with a comprehensive understanding of business operations, risk management, innovation, and opportunity recognition, while also fostering soft skills like creativity, leadership, and resilience (Bae et al., 2014). The literature underscores a variety of pedagogical methods such as case studies, project-based learning, internships, and incubation centers, which facilitate experiential learning and enhance practical comprehension of entrepreneurship (Peterman & Kennedy, 2003). Research has shown a positive correlation between entrepreneurship education and entrepreneurial intentions, albeit with varying effects based on the level of prior exposure to entrepreneurship (Fayolle & Gailly, 2013).

Despite the growing recognition of entrepreneurship as a key economic driver, challenges persist in the implementation of entrepreneurship education programs. There is ongoing debate regarding the effectiveness of different pedagogical approaches, with questions surrounding whether entrepreneurship can truly be taught or if it is an inherent trait refined through experience (Kuratko, 2005). Additionally, assessing the impact and success of entrepreneurship education remains a complex task, as the outcomes may not be immediately observable and can vary significantly among individuals (Graevenitz et al., 2010).

Efforts to enhance the quality of entrepreneurship education include models for evaluating program effectiveness (Fan et al., 2022), strategies to increase students' entrepreneurship intentions (Byun et al., 2018), and the development of competency-based learning frameworks (Simatupang & Bajari, 2021). Moreover, there is a call for the integration of entrepreneurship education across various educational levels, drawing on core theories in entrepreneurship literature such as experiential learning and effectuation theory (Slišāne, 2021). The literature also emphasizes the importance of policies and practices that promote entrepreneurial education to drive economic growth (Alakaleek, 2019).

In conclusion, entrepreneurship education plays a vital role in equipping students with the skills and mindset necessary for entrepreneurial success. While the field continues to evolve, addressing challenges related to pedagogy and program design is crucial for maximizing the impact of entrepreneurship education on individuals and society.

METHODS

This study adopts a qualitative research approach to explore the impact of Entrepreneurship Education Programs (EEPs) on students' entrepreneurial interests at a private university known for its comprehensive EEPs in 2023. The qualitative methodology was chosen for its ability to provide deep insights into the participants' experiences, perceptions, and motivations, allowing for a nuanced understanding of how EEPs influence students' interests in entrepreneurship. The research focuses on capturing the complexities and dynamics of students' entrepreneurial development, which quantitative methods may not fully reveal.

The study involved open interviews with 20 students who were actively participating in the university's EEP during the research period. These students were selected through purposive sampling to ensure a diverse representation of academic disciplines, stages in the entrepreneurship program, and backgrounds. This diversity was intended to capture a broad spectrum of experiences and insights into how the EEP influences participants' entrepreneurial interests.

A validated interview guide served as the primary research instrument for this study. The guide was carefully designed to elicit comprehensive information on the students' experiences with the EEP, including their motivations for joining, their assessment of the program's impact on their entrepreneurial mindset, and the perceived changes in their entrepreneurial interests. The validation process involved expert reviews to ensure the reliability and relevance of the questions to the research objectives.

Interviews were conducted over a period of two months, with each session lasting approximately 45 minutes to an hour. All interviews were audio-recorded with the participants' consent and subsequently transcribed verbatim. The transcription process ensured accuracy in capturing the participants' responses, which were then subjected to thematic analysis. This involved coding the data to identify patterns, themes, and insights related to the development of entrepreneurial interests among the participants. The analysis focused on interpreting the qualitative data to understand the depth and nuances of students' experiences and perceptions of the EEP.

To ensure the validity of the research data, the study relied on the saturation of responses from respondents, where no new information was observed to emerge from the interviews, indicating that the data collection had comprehensively covered the scope of the research questions. Additionally, the results were validated by experts in entrepreneurship education to

confirm their relevance and accuracy. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the university's research institute, ensuring that the research adhered to the highest standards of academic integrity and respect for participants. This included obtaining informed consent from all participants, guaranteeing anonymity, and ensuring the confidentiality of the responses.

By employing a qualitative approach and focusing on the experiences of students actively engaged in an EEP, this study aims to provide valuable insights into how such programs influence the development of entrepreneurial interests. The methodology, centered around in-depth interviews and thematic analysis, allows for a rich exploration of the students' perspectives, ensuring a comprehensive understanding of the impact of entrepreneurship education at the university level.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The qualitative analysis of interviews conducted with students participating in Entrepreneurship Education Programs (EEPs) at a private university reveals that the brief, predominantly theoretical approach of these programs did not significantly impact the students' entrepreneurial interests. This section presents the key findings from the interview transcripts, highlighting students' perceptions and experiences with the EEPs.

Lack of Practical Engagement

A recurring theme across the interviews was the students' dissatisfaction with the lack of practical engagement within the EEPs. Many students expressed that while the theoretical knowledge provided a foundation, it did not translate into an increased interest in entrepreneurship due to the absence of hands-on experiences. One student mentioned, *"Learning about business models and strategies in a classroom setting was informative, but it didn't ignite a passion for entrepreneurship. It felt too detached from the real-world challenges entrepreneurs face."*

Need for Real-World Application

The interviews underscored a clear desire among students for more experiential learning opportunities within the EEPs. Students felt that engaging with real businesses, through internships or project collaborations, would have made the educational experience more impactful. *"I was hoping for more than just lectures. Working directly with a startup or developing our own business ideas would have given us a taste of what entrepreneurship truly involves,"* another student reflected.

Lack of Inspirational Encounters

Several students pointed out that their entrepreneurial interests were not stimulated due to the absence of interactions with successful entrepreneurs. They believed that guest lectures or mentorship programs with entrepreneurs who could share their journeys and challenges would have been highly motivational. *"Hearing from someone who's been through the entrepreneurial process, warts and all, would have been incredibly inspiring. It's those real stories that make entrepreneurship feel accessible,"* a participant commented.

Theoretical Knowledge vs. Entrepreneurial Spirit

While students acknowledged the importance of theoretical knowledge in understanding business fundamentals, they felt it was insufficient to develop a genuine entrepreneurial spirit. The consensus was that entrepreneurship is as much about mindset and attitude as it is about business acumen. *"You can know all the theories in the world, but that doesn't make you ready to start a business. It's the drive, the resilience, and the creativity that matter more,"* shared one of the interviewees.

Suggestions for Improvement

When asked about improvements to the EEPs, students consistently recommended incorporating more practical elements into the program. Suggestions included project-based courses where students could work on their business ideas, partnerships with local businesses

for internships, and more networking events with entrepreneurs. *"To really foster an interest in entrepreneurship, the program needs to bridge the gap between theory and practice. That's where the real learning happens,"* concluded a student.

The qualitative data analysis reveals that the current structure of EEPs, with a heavy emphasis on theoretical learning and a lack of practical experiences, falls short in fostering students' interests in entrepreneurship. The students' insights and suggestions highlight a critical need for programmatic adjustments that prioritize experiential learning, real-world engagements, and inspirational encounters with seasoned entrepreneurs. To cultivate a genuine entrepreneurial spirit among students, EEPs must evolve beyond the traditional classroom model and embrace a more hands-on, immersive approach to entrepreneurship education.

The qualitative analysis conducted in our study highlights a significant gap between theoretical learning and practical application within Entrepreneurship Education Programs (EEPs) at universities. Students' feedback emphasizes the inadequacy of theoretical knowledge alone in fostering a strong entrepreneurial spirit or interest in entrepreneurial ventures Pintrich (2004). This finding is in line with scholars advocating for a practice-oriented approach to entrepreneurship education, stressing the importance of hands-on experience and real-world business engagement (Huang-Saad et al., 2018).

The lack of experiential learning is identified as a crucial shortcoming in current EEPs, with students expressing a need for more interactive and practical opportunities, such as internships, project collaborations, and business incubation (Fayolle et al., 2006). This underscores the necessity for EEPs to incorporate learning methods that go beyond traditional classroom settings. Such a shift aligns with pedagogical frameworks emphasizing experiential learning, reflection, conceptualization, and experimentation in entrepreneurship education (Autio et al., 2018).

Students' suggestions for more meaningful interactions with established entrepreneurs reveal a missed opportunity within EEPs. These interactions not only serve as motivational experiences but also bridge the gap between theoretical concepts and real-world application, offering valuable insights into entrepreneurial endeavors (Zhang et al., 2022). This finding is consistent with research highlighting the significant role of mentorship and role models in shaping entrepreneurial intentions and aspirations (Ciuchta et al., 2017).

The distinction made by students between acquiring theoretical knowledge and developing an entrepreneurial mindset points to a fundamental issue in entrepreneurship education (Davey & Galán-Muros, 2020). Traits associated with the entrepreneurial spirit, such as innovation, risk-taking, and resilience, are best nurtured through experiential learning and facing challenges, rather than traditional lectures and textbooks (Murray, 2019). This underscores the need to align EEP objectives with pedagogical approaches that prioritize practical experiences to effectively cultivate entrepreneurial qualities in academic settings.

Students' recommendation for a closer integration of theoretical learning with practical application underscores a broader pedagogical challenge (Bergmann et al., 2018). Bridging this gap requires innovative curriculum design approaches that incorporate real-world problem-solving, business planning, and venture creation within academic contexts (Whitchurch, 2011). This integration not only enhances learning outcomes but also better prepares students for entrepreneurial pursuits post-graduation. The proposal to involve students in real-world projects and business partnerships as part of EEPs shows promise in providing students with valuable insights into the complexities of entrepreneurship and fostering a realistic understanding of entrepreneurial endeavors (Trihastuti et al., 2020). Engaging with actual businesses can also expand students' networks, offering potential pathways to entrepreneurship.

In conclusion, our study's findings emphasize the need for universities to reevaluate their role in nurturing entrepreneurship among students. While universities are well-suited to provide a comprehensive education combining theoretical rigor with practical relevance, the current approach to EEPs suggests a necessity for a more balanced curriculum that aligns closely with students' entrepreneurial aspirations.

CONCLUSION

The research undertaken to assess the impact of Entrepreneurship Education Programs (EEPs) at universities on students' entrepreneurial interests has illuminated critical insights into the efficacy of current educational strategies in fostering a genuine entrepreneurial mindset. Despite the high expectations placed on these programs, our findings reveal a significant gap between the theoretical knowledge imparted through EEPs and the practical, experiential learning necessary to ignite a robust entrepreneurial spirit among students. The predominance of classroom-based theoretical learning, with minimal exposure to real-world entrepreneurial practices, has been identified as a primary shortfall in the existing curriculum. This disconnect not only hampers the development of students' entrepreneurial interests but also calls into question the overall effectiveness of EEPs in preparing future entrepreneurs capable of navigating the complexities of the modern business landscape.

In response to these findings, it is imperative for universities and educators to reevaluate and redesign EEPs with an emphasis on practical, hands-on experiences that bridge the gap between academic knowledge and real-world application. Incorporating more experiential learning opportunities, such as internships, mentorships, project collaborations, and interactions with successful entrepreneurs, could significantly enhance the impact of these programs. By doing so, EEPs can truly fulfill their potential as catalysts for developing the entrepreneurial mindset, skills, and interests necessary for students to thrive in an increasingly competitive and dynamic global economy. As we move forward, the continuous evolution of entrepreneurship education will play a crucial role in shaping the next generation of innovative leaders and changemakers, underscoring the need for a collaborative effort among educational institutions, industry partners, and policymakers to foster a more entrepreneurial society.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings and discussions outlined in this study, we recommend that universities and educators involved in Entrepreneurship Education Programs (EEPs) undertake a strategic reevaluation and redesign of these programs to bridge the gap between theoretical learning and practical application. First and foremost, EEPs should integrate more experiential learning opportunities, such as internships with startups, live project collaborations, and participation in business incubators or accelerators. These practical components will not only enhance students' understanding of entrepreneurial processes but also foster the development of essential skills such as problem-solving, resilience, and innovation. Additionally, creating platforms for regular interaction with successful entrepreneurs—through guest lectures, mentorship programs, and networking events—can provide students with valuable insights into the entrepreneurial journey, offering inspiration and practical advice. Such initiatives could serve to demystify the path to successful entrepreneurship and stimulate students' interest in pursuing entrepreneurial ventures.

Furthermore, entrepreneurship education curriculum designers are encouraged to adopt a more holistic approach that emphasizes the cultivation of an entrepreneurial mindset alongside the impartation of business knowledge. This can be achieved by incorporating coursework that focuses on creativity, risk management, and ethical business practices, as well as offering support for student-led entrepreneurial projects. Universities should also consider establishing partnerships with the business community to facilitate real-world exposure and learning. To ensure the continuous improvement and relevance of EEPs, feedback mechanisms involving current students and program alumni should be implemented, allowing for the iterative refinement of program content and teaching methods based on direct stakeholder input. By adopting these recommendations, EEPs can more effectively nurture the next generation of entrepreneurs, equipping them with the knowledge, skills, and inspiration needed to contribute to economic innovation and growth.

REFERENCES

- Alakaleek, W. (2019). The status of entrepreneurship education in Jordanian universities. *Education + Training*, 61(2), 169-186. <https://doi.org/10.1108/et-03-2018-0082>
- Autio, E., Nambisan, S., Thomas, L., & Wright, M. (2018). Digital affordances, spatial affordances, and the genesis of entrepreneurial ecosystems. *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal*, 12(1), 72-95. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sej.1266>
- Bae, T., Qian, S., Cai, M., & Fiet, J. (2014). The relationship between entrepreneurship education and entrepreneurial intentions: a meta-analytic review. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 38(2), 217-254. <https://doi.org/10.1111/etap.12095>
- Bell, R. and Bell, H. (2020). Applying educational theory to develop a framework to support the delivery of experiential entrepreneurship education. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 27(6), 987-1004. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jsbed-01-2020-0012>
- Bergmann, H., Geißler, M., Hundt, C., & Grave, B. (2018). The climate for entrepreneurship at higher education institutions. *Research Policy*, 47(4), 700-716. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2018.01.018>
- Byun, C., Sung, C., Park, J., & Choi, D. (2018). A study on the effectiveness of entrepreneurship education programs in higher education institutions: a case study of Korean graduate programs. *Journal of Open Innovation Technology Market and Complexity*, 4(3), 26. <https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc4030026>
- Cao, Y. and Jiang, H. (2017). An empirical study on the quality of entrepreneurship education based on performance excellence management. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics Science and Technology Education*, 13(8). <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2017.01020a>
- Ciuchta, M., Letwin, C., Stevenson, R., McMahon, S., & Huvaj, M. (2017). Betting on the coachable entrepreneur: signaling and social exchange in entrepreneurial pitches. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 42(6), 860-885. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1042258717725520>
- Davey, T. and Galán-Muros, V. (2020). Understanding entrepreneurial academics - how they perceive their environment differently. *The Journal of Management Development*, 39(5), 599-617. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jmd-09-2019-0392>
- Duval-Couetil, N. (2013). Assessing the impact of entrepreneurship education programs: challenges and approaches. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 51(3), 394-409. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jsbm.12024>
- Fan, X., Tian, S., Lu, Z., & Cao, R. (2022). Quality evaluation of entrepreneurship education in higher education based on cipp model and ahp-fce methods. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.973511>
- Fayolle, A. and Gailly, B. (2013). The impact of entrepreneurship education on entrepreneurial attitudes and intention: hysteresis and persistence. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 53(1), 75-93. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jsbm.12065>
- Fayolle, A., Gailly, B., & Lassas-Clerc, N. (2006). Assessing the impact of entrepreneurship education programmes: a new methodology. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 30(9), 701-720. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03090590610715022>
- Graevenitz, G., Harhoff, D., & Weber, R. (2010). The effects of entrepreneurship education. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 76(1), 90-112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2010.02.015>
- Huang-Saad, A., Duval-Couetil, N., & Park, J. (2018). Technology and talent: capturing the role of universities in regional entrepreneurial ecosystems. *Journal of Enterprising Communities People and Places in the Global Economy*, 12(2), 92-116. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jec-08-2017-0070>
- Kuratko, D. (2005). The emergence of entrepreneurship education: development, trends, and challenges. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 29(5), 577-597. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2005.00099.x>

- Murray, A. (2019). The role of practical assessment in the delivery of successful enterprise education. *Education + Training*, 61(4), 413-431. <https://doi.org/10.1108/et-10-2018-0216>
- Peterman, N. and Kennedy, J. (2003). Enterprise education: influencing students' perceptions of entrepreneurship. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 28(2), 129-144. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1540-6520.2003.000>
- Pintrich, P. (2004). A conceptual framework for assessing motivation and self-regulated learning in college students. *Educational Psychology Review*, 16(4), 385-407. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-004-0006-x>
- Piperopoulos, P. and Dimov, D. (2014). Burst bubbles or build steam? entrepreneurship education, entrepreneurial self-efficacy, and entrepreneurial intentions. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 53(4), 970-985. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jsbm.12116>
- Shahidi, S. (2022). Identifying and investigating the foreign model's educational entrepreneurship in higher education and implement native models for iran. *International Journal of Education and Humanities*, 2(3), 92-107. <https://doi.org/10.58557/ijeh.v2i3.87>
- Simatupang, R. and Bajari, M. (2021). Entrepreneurial intentions: theory of planned behavior perspectives. *Kne Social Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v5i5.8834>
- Slišāne, A. (2021). Conceptualization of pedagogical entrepreneurship. <https://doi.org/10.22364/htqe.2021.53>
- Trihastuti, M., Abdulkarim, A., Danial, E., & Supriadi, A. (2020). Learning strategy for students' entrepreneurial spirit through students' cooperation (kopma). *Jurnal Iqra*, 5(2), 83-94. <https://doi.org/10.25217/ji.v5i2.855>
- Wei, C., Wang, S., Chen, Y., & Jiang, Y. (2023). A study on the impact of entrepreneurship education on college students' employability. *Journal of Education Humanities and Social Sciences*, 8, 1032-1035. <https://doi.org/10.54097/ehss.v8i.4398>
- Whitchurch, C. (2011). Expanding the parameters of academia. *Higher Education*, 64(1), 99-117. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-011-9483-2>
- Yue, J. (2021). Education reform and quality training of music majors from the perspective of entrepreneurial education. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.749701>
- Zhang, Y., Duysters, G., & Cloudt, M. (2013). The role of entrepreneurship education as a predictor of university students' entrepreneurial intention. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 10(3), 623-641. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11365-012-0246-z>
- Zhang, Y., Wang, P., & Zhao, Y. (2022). Big five personality, academic entrepreneurial motivation, and academic entrepreneurial intention: a research method based on fuzzy set qualitative comparative analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.799770>