



How Does Creativity and Environmental Support Matter on University Students' Digital Entrepreneurial Intention? : A Mediated Model

Fransisca Rahcmawati Indira¹, Dewi Kusuma Wardani^{2*}, Tri Murwaningsih³

Economic Education Department, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education,
Universitas Sebelas Maret, Indonesia.

*Corresponding Author. Email: dewikusuma@staff.uns.ac.id

Abstract: This study aims to investigate the effects of self-perceived creativity and environmental support on university students' digital entrepreneurial intentions while also examining a mediation model. This research used a survey method with a quantitative approach. The data was collected involving undergraduate students in Economic Education from three universities in Central Java province. The study engaged 531 population and 229 samples selected using the proportionate random sampling method. SEM-PLS analysis was employed for data analysis. The findings suggest that digital entrepreneurial intention is positively, albeit not significantly, impacted by self-perceived creativity. Meanwhile, digital entrepreneurial intention is positively and significantly impacted by both environmental support and digital entrepreneurial self-efficacy. Moreover, digital entrepreneurial self-efficacy is also positively and significantly impacted by self-perceived creativity and environmental support. Then, the mediation models are also accepted. The results suggest fostering creativity-based learning programs, training, internships, and short courses to enhance students' confidence in digital entrepreneurship and entrepreneurial intentions. To this end, collaborating with universities, governments, and private sectors is crucial in executing such initiatives.

Article History

Received: 05-03-2024

Revised: 15-04-2024

Accepted: 26-05-2024

Published: 22-06-2024

Key Words:

Creativity; Environmental Support; Digital Entrepreneurial Intention; Digital Entrepreneurial Self-Efficacy.

How to Cite: Indira, F., Wardani, D., & Murwaningsih, T. (2024). How Does Creativity and Environmental Support Matter on University Students' Digital Entrepreneurial Intention? : A Mediated Model. *Jurnal Kependidikan: Jurnal Hasil Penelitian dan Kajian Kepustakaan di Bidang Pendidikan, Pengajaran dan Pembelajaran*, 10(2), 439-450. doi:<https://doi.org/10.33394/jk.v10i2.11017>



<https://doi.org/10.33394/jk.v10i2.11017>

This is an open-access article under the [CC-BY-SA License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/).



Introduction

In the present era, many experts have been captivated by digital entrepreneurship due to its significant influence on traditional entrepreneurial paradigms and economic conditions (Sataalkina & Steiner, 2020). Digital entrepreneurship is the process of creating, developing, and managing a business with digital technology to provide value to consumers and business owners (Akhter et al., 2022). Several studies have recognised the significant benefits of digital entrepreneurship, such as facilitating the expression of creativity and the generation of ideas crucial for entrepreneurial endeavours (Kraus et al., 2019). Consequently, experts in the field are keen on exploring digital entrepreneurship and looking for ways to extend the number of entrepreneurs participating in digital entrepreneurship (Wibowo et al., 2023).

The growth of digital entrepreneurship has been rapid on a global scale. From an Indonesian perspective, Wang et al. (2020) predict that digital entrepreneurship will experience substantial growth until 2030. Analysis of the development of digital entrepreneurship is reflected in data quoted by Clinton and Pertiwi (2023), indicating a massive increase in internet users in Indonesia over the past five years, peaking at 212.9 million people in 2023. Data from the Indonesian Ministry of Information (2022) underscores that the rapid increase in internet users has stimulated digital economic growth, reaching 52%, positioning Indonesia as the Southeast Asian leader.



Despite the growth of digital economics in Indonesia, Marlina et al. (2023) revealed that the adoption of digital technology among entrepreneurs for business development remains low, at 32%. Supporting this statement, the Indonesian Ministry of Information (2022) states that the number of digital entrepreneurs in Indonesia was projected to be 9.900 people in 2022, a relatively low figure. The government intends to elevate this number, targeting 30 million entrepreneurs transitioning to digital platforms by 2024. Such a trend allows students to develop critical thinking skills, create jobs, and innovate in a world experiencing rapid transformations (Alferaih, 2022).

Social Cognitive Theory (SCT), proposed by Bandura (2002), serves as the main framework explaining how personal and environmental factors influence the promotion of digital entrepreneurial intentions. SCT strongly emphasises how human behaviour is shaped by reciprocal determinism, self-efficacy, and observational learning (Bandura, 2002). Several previous studies on SCT in digital entrepreneurship reveal that social and cognitive processes are pivotal in shaping entrepreneurial intentions (Elnadi & Gheith, 2023). In a preliminary study conducted by Al-Mamary and Alraja (2022), those with a greater inclination towards digital entrepreneurship exhibit the corresponding behaviour. The theoretical model derived from SCT suggests that it can serve as a grand theory encompassing the variables of self-perceived creativity (reflected in personal factors), environmental support (reflected in environmental factors), digital entrepreneurial self-efficacy and intentions (both reflected in behavioural factors).

Self-perceived creativity is a personal factor influencing digital entrepreneurial intentions (Maheshwari et al., 2022). Likewise, self-perceived creativity can be interpreted as an individual's ability to develop new ideas, identify opportunities, and offer solutions to encountered problems (Akhter et al., 2022). A person's creative potential, talents, and capacities collectively constitute self-perceived creativity (Al Halbusi et al., 2022). High-creative students are more likely to be interested in launching their own firms, as empirical research has consistently shown (Abdelfattah et al., 2023). Individuals with a higher creative disposition tend to maintain positive attitudes and higher levels of self-confidence throughout the entrepreneurial process (Zhao et al., 2005). This accentuates how important it is for people's self-perceived creativity to influence their determination to become entrepreneurs in the digital sphere.

Previous research presents inconsistent findings when examining the influence of self-perceived creativity on digital entrepreneurial intentions. Akhter et al. (2022) and Cahyo et al. (2023) assert that self-perceived creativity positively and significantly affects students' intentions to become online entrepreneurs. Similarly, other studies by Abdelfattah et al. (2022) and Al Halbusi et al. (2022) confirm that self-perceived creativity significantly influences digital entrepreneurial intentions. Furthermore, the study by Rakib et al. (2020) demonstrates that creativity in entrepreneurship significantly affects students' entrepreneurial intentions. However, Anoraga et al. (2023) suggest that creativity does not significantly affect students' digital entrepreneurial intentions. Likewise, research by Mugiono et al. (2021) suggests that self-perceived creativity negatively and significantly affects online entrepreneurial intentions. These contradictory findings highlight the difficulty in comprehending the connection between self-perceived creativity and intentions to engage in digital entrepreneurship. Moreover, it emphasises the necessity for additional investigation and analysis specific to this research domain.

As an environmental factor, environmental support has been shown to have a substantial impact on digital entrepreneurs' intentions (Maheshwari et al., 2022). Environmental support is defined within entrepreneurship as support in forming an individual



instrumental readiness and subjective norms to commence an entrepreneurial endeavour. Environmental support comprises many components, such as family, social, environmental, financial, and university (Darmanto et al., 2022; Saeed et al., 2015). Despite its importance, studies concerning external antecedents such as environmental support are rarely considered when conducting entrepreneurial intention and behaviour studies. Much scholarly inquiry focuses on internal determinants (Akter & Iqbal, 2022). Thus, it is imperative to acknowledge the importance of external factors such as environmental support to comprehensively comprehend the complex influences that shape intentions to engage in digital entrepreneurship.

Previous research shows inconsistent findings when exploring the impact of environmental support on digital entrepreneurial intentions. Widiasih and Darma (2021) affirmed that a supportive family environment can enhance students' digital entrepreneurial intentions. Likewise, Alkhalaileh (2021) elaborated that the support of the university environment positively influences students' intentions to initiate their business. Also, Genoveva (2023) and Roca-Barcelo et al. (2021) discovered a positive correlation between students' intentions and behaviour regarding entrepreneurship and the university environment. However, Darmanto et al. (2022) put forward different research findings, asserting that the intentions of students to pursue digital entrepreneurship were not significantly impacted by environmental support.

Based on the findings, inconsistencies are evident in the results concerning self-perceived creativity and environmental support for digital entrepreneurial intentions among students. To improve the relationship between exogenous and endogenous variables, this study uses the digital entrepreneurial self-efficacy variable as a mediating variable. Digital entrepreneurial self-efficacy, identified as a personal factor, plays a pivotal role in influencing entrepreneurial intentions (Maheshwari et al., 2022). Individuals possessing entrepreneurial confidence, particularly with strong use of digital technology, tend to exhibit high digital entrepreneurial intentions. Thus, digital entrepreneurial self-efficacy is the most influential factor affecting digital entrepreneurial intentions (Darmanto et al., 2022).

This study aims to investigate the effects of self-perceived creativity and environmental support on university students' digital entrepreneurial intentions while also examining a mediation model. This study contributes at least four important insights. Firstly, it seeks to address inconsistencies in previous research regarding the influence of self-perceived creativity and environmental support to fill gaps and resolve debates in the field. Secondly, this research clarifies the critical function that self-efficacy in digital entrepreneurship plays as a mediating element between one's own self-perceived creativity and environmental support with regard to one's digital entrepreneurial intentions. Such a paradigm has not been considered by entrepreneurship researchers. Thirdly, this research used second-order confirmatory factor analysis to construct the research model, it is noteworthy to highlight the scarcity research used the approach. Fourthly, in order to enhance the proportion of university graduates who become digital entrepreneurs, the study offers crucial advice to Indonesian stakeholders and universities, urging the optimization of creativity-based digital entrepreneurship education and environmental support.

Research Method

This research uses an empirical, conceptual study model through a survey method with a quantitative approach. Data was collected through online research questionnaires via Google Forms from February to March 2024. The questionnaires were distributed to respondents via WhatsApp, Instagram, and Email. Four response alternatives on a modified

Likert scale, from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (4), were used in the study (Sugiyono, 2019). The research population comprised all undergraduate students in the Economic Education study program at three universities located in Central Java Province. The total population is 531 students, with 229 research samples obtained through Slovin calculations with an error tolerance of 5% (Wahyudin, 2015). Probability sampling was employed, using the proportionate random sampling method to ensure the required sample adequately represented each university (Sugiyono, 2019). This study employed Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) in Partial Least Square (PLS) analysis, with Smart PLS 3 and two-tailed testing (Abdillah & Hartono, 2015).




Figure 1. Conceptual Framework

Results and Discussion

This study used second-order confirmatory factor analysis to construct the research model. The testing involved latent dimensional constructs, carried out in two stages: initial measurement indicators were tested in the first stage, followed by the testing of dimensional constructs in the second stage. The degree of interdependence between latent variables and indicators is determined by evaluating the reflective measurement model. The validity and reliability of research variables are estimated using external model testing. The first step in evaluating a measurement model is ascertaining its convergent validity. The loading factor (LF) value needs to be higher than 0.7 in order to guarantee the validity of the convergent validity test results. Values of less than 0.7 indicate convergent validity failure.

Table 1. Results of Convergent Validity Tests

DEI	LF	DESE	LF	ES	LF	SPC	LF
DEI1A	0.847	DESE1A	0.727	ES1A	0.730	SPC1A	0.768
DEI1B	0.830	DESE1B	0.744	ES1B	0.753	SPC1B	0.841
DEI2A	0.779	DESE1C	0.751	ES1C	0.724	SPC2A	0.822
DEI2B	0.825	DESE2A	0.752	ES2A	0.758	SPC2B	0.776
DEI3A	0.784	DESE2B	0.749	ES2B	0.760	SPC3A	0.763
DEI3B	0.795	DESE2C	0.745	ES2C	0.776	SPC3B	0.802
DEI4A	0.804	DESE3A	0.810	ES3A	0.769	SPC4A	0.793
DEI4B	0.813	DESE3B	0.776	ES3B	0.783	SPC4B	0.765
		DESE3C	0.794	ES3C	0.790		
				ES4A	0.798		
				ES4B	0.805		
				ES4C	0.810		

The results of the outer loading test on the digital entrepreneurial intention (DEI) variable, digital entrepreneurial self-efficacy (DESE) variable, environmental support (ES) variable, and self-perceived creativity (SPC) variable show values above 0.7. It is possible to



conclude that every examined indicator has a loading factor value greater than 0.7 based on the test results shown in Table 1. Accordingly, all the indicators used effectively represent the latent variables tested, affirming that the research model meets convergent validity. Following the convergent validity testing, the next step involves testing discriminant validity.

Table 2. Fornell-Larcker Criterion of Discriminant Validity

	DEI	DESE	ES	SPC
Digital Entrepreneurial Intention	0.810			
Digital Entrepreneurial Self-Efficacy	0.728	0.761		
Environmental Support	0.616	0.686	0.772	
Self-Perceived Creativity	0.696	0.804	0.690	0.792

The first and more careful method for determining discriminant validity is the Fornell-Larcker criterion. The criteria determine the difference in correlation between latent variables using the square root of the AVE value. A construct can only be more precise if its maximum correlation with other constructs is smaller than its AVE squared (Henseler et al., 2009). According to Table 2 of the test results, the square root of the AVE shown diagonally is larger than the correlation. The study model has met the requirements for significant discriminant validity, according to the findings. The final step in assessing the reflective measurement model is to look at construct reliability, which is used to evaluate the reliability of the research constructs and is measured by looking at Cronbach's Alpha (CA) values above 0.7, Composite Reliability (CR) above 0.7, and AVE above 0.5 (Abdillah et al., 2015).

Table 3. Results of the Reliability Tests

		CA	CR	AVE
Digital Entrepreneurial Intention	DEI	0.925	0.938	0.656
Digital Entrepreneurial Self-Efficacy	DESE	0.909	0.925	0.580
Environmental Support	ES	0.938	0.946	0.596
Self-Perceived Creativity	SPC	0.915	0.931	0.626

Results show that the values of Cronbach's Alpha and Composite Reliability are greater than 0.7. More specifically, DEI has values of 0.925 and 0.938. DESE has values of 0.909 and 0.925. ES has values of 0.938 and 0.946. In addition, SPC has values of 0.915 and 0.931, demonstrating a high level of consistency. The internal consistency of the construct measurement is satisfactory, and the reliability is deemed adequate. The test findings indicate that the AVE value is over 0.5, with DEI at 0.656, DESE at 0.580, ES at 0.596, and SPC at 0.626, demonstrating adequate dependability. The results indicate that the construct's internal consistency and reliability are excellent, making it a highly useful model. Structural model evaluation in SEM analysis involves assessing parameters and metrics to assess the alignment between the research model, data, and underlying theory.

Table 4. Goodness of Fit Index Calculation

	R Square	R Square Adjusted	Q Square	VIF
DEI	0.576	0.570	0.368	
DESE	0.679	0.676	0.387	3.115
ES				2.107
SPC				3.149

The R Square and R Square Adjusted tests are categorised as strong if the value exceeds 0.67, moderate if the value is between 0.33 to 0.67, and weak if it ranges from 0.19 to 0.33 (Abdillah & Hartono, 2015). Table 4 illustrates the test results indicating that the DEI variable has R Square and R Square Adjusted values of 0.576 and 0.570, falling within the moderate category. Similarly, the DESE variable shows the R Square and R Square Adjusted values of 0.679 and 0.676, categorised as strong. Subsequently, the Q Square testing was then carried out using the criterion that if the Q Square value is more than 0, the research model

shows good predictive relevance (Abdillah & Hartono, 2015). The Q Square values for the DEI and DESE variables are 0.368 and 0.387, respectively, based on the results shown in Table 4. These figures suggest that the predictive usefulness of the study model is sufficient. The VIF test was then conducted with the requirement that the VIF value be less than 5. Multicollinearity between constructs is indicated by a VIF score greater than 5 (Sarstedt et al., 2017). Based on Table 4, the VIF values for the DESE, ES, and SPC variables are 3.115, 2.107, and 3.149, respectively. Therefore, it may be said that the predictor variables in the research model do not exhibit multicollinearity. Based on the test results, it can be inferred that the research model is robust.




Figure 1. Evaluation of Reflective Measurement Models

This study employed a bootstrapping method for hypothesis testing by assessing path coefficients using a two-tailed significance level of 5% and a 95% confidence level. The t-statistics and p-value are analysed to determine whether to accept or reject a hypothesis. Acceptance of hypotheses is determined by the t-statistic surpassing 1.96 and the p-value falling below 0.05 (Abdillah, W., & Hartono, 2015).

Table 5. Results of the Hypothesis Tests

Relationship	Original Sample	Sample Mean	Standard Deviation	T Statistics	P Values	Decision
H1 SPC → DEI	0.253	0.239	0.132	1.925	0.055	Rejected
H2 ES → DEI	0.153	0.151	0.049	3.114	0.002	Accepted
H3 DESE → DEI	0.420	0.436	0.128	3.283	0.001	Accepted
H4 SPC → DESE	0.631	0.631	0.066	9.592	0.000	Accepted
H5 ES → DESE	0.251	0.251	0.068	3.663	0.000	Accepted
H6 SPC → DESE → DEI	0.265	0.276	0.089	2.977	0.003	Accepted
H7 ES → DESE → DEI	0.105	0.110	0.045	2.330	0.020	Accepted



The first hypothesis (H1), asserting that SPC influences DEI, is rejected. The test results show that the p-value is 0.055 (greater than 0.05), the t-statistic is 1.925 (less than 1.96), and the positive value in the original sample is 0.253. As a result, it can be concluded that SPC exerts a marginally positive impact on DEI. This result contradicts Bandura's (2002) social cognitive theory, which emphasizes the part unique personal traits play in encouraging entrepreneurial intentions. Additionally, the research results contradict with previous research that suggested a substantial and favourable influence of SPC on DEI (Abdelfattah et al., 2022; Al Halbusi et al., 2022; Akhter et al., 2022; Cahyo et al., 2023). Contrarily, the results of this study are consistent with those of Anoraga et al. (2023), who concluded that while creativity does impact DEI in students, the effect is insignificant. The analysis posits that factors such as inadequate development of creativity and students perceiving themselves as incapable of implementing innovative concepts in entrepreneurial endeavours could contribute to students' restricted level of creativity. As a result, this underscores the importance of ongoing creativity cultivation via entrepreneurship education initiatives at academic institutions or extracurricular undertakings that aim to manifest creative capacities.

The second hypothesis (H2) posits that ES influences DEI is accepted. The test findings indicate that the p-value is 0.002 (below 0.05), the t-statistic is 3.114 (which exceeds 1.96), and the positive value in the original sample is 0.153. These findings support the notion that ES significantly and favorably affects DEI. The results are consistent with social cognitive theory developed by Bandura (2002), which emphasizes the contribution of contextual factors, including the ES variable, to the encouragement of entrepreneurial goals. In addition, the results are consistent with previous research indicating that environmental support, both family, social and university aspects, exerts a positive and significant effect on entrepreneurial intentions in the digital domain (Widiasih & Darma, 2021; Alkhalaileh, 2021; Genoveva, 2023; Roca-Barcelo et al., 2021). Based on the researchers' limited analysis, it is suggested that environmental factors play a crucial role in shaping students' attitudes and behaviour, influencing their positive or negative perceptions regarding digital entrepreneurship. Students harbouring the intention to venture into digital entrepreneurship will likely join social communities with similar preferences, facilitating knowledge-sharing among peers. Universities may have a big impact on the development of new digital entrepreneurs by providing education linked to digital entrepreneurship and establishing a supportive atmosphere. Additionally, parental involvement in entrepreneurship and sufficient parental education are examples of family support identified as an important environmental element influencing students' desire to pursue digital entrepreneurship.

The third hypothesis (H3), asserting that DESE influences DEI, is accepted. The test findings indicate, the original sample contains a positive value of 0.420, the p-value is 0.001 (below 0.05), and the t-statistic is 3.283 (which exceeds 1.96). Thus, it can be concluded that DESE substantially and positively impacts DEI. The results are consistent with the social cognitive theory by Bandura (2002), which highlights the significance of behavioural factors, such as the DESE variable, in cultivating entrepreneurial intentions. The results also concur with previous research by Akhter et al. (2022) and Xin & Ma (2023), which stated that DESE positively and significantly affects DEI. Drawing from the analysis, students can enhance their confidence in participating in digital entrepreneurship by actively engaging in associated endeavours. These comprise attending techno-partnership short courses and workshops offered by the Indonesian Student Micro-Credentials program, participating in digital entrepreneurship-focused internships through the Young Wira program, attending seminars dedicated to digital entrepreneurship, organising student entrepreneurship weeks, and engaging in digital entrepreneurship seminars. Furthermore, prior experience in digital



entrepreneurship activities can contribute to the development of student's confidence in their capability to engage in digital entrepreneurship. Participating in such endeavours and amassing digital entrepreneurship experience elevates students' competence, bolstering their self-assurance to initiate prosperous digital entrepreneurial pursuits.

The fourth hypothesis (H4), asserting that SPC influences DESE, is accepted. The test findings indicate that the p-value is 0.000 (below 0.05), the t-statistic is 9.592 (which exceeds 1.96), and the positive value in the original sample is 0.631. Therefore, it can be deduced that SPC exerts a substantial and favourable impact on DESE. These results are in accordance with social cognitive theory by Bandura (2002), which acknowledges that both personal and behavioural factors influence entrepreneurial intentions. Creative individuals can increase their self-confidence in entrepreneurship, which is a pivotal factor in their decision to pursue entrepreneurship (Zhao et al., 2005). Regarding this analysis, a creative mindset may influence students' convictions regarding digital entrepreneurship. Creative individuals frequently exhibit a high level of proficiency in identifying opportunities, which boosts their confidence in seizing existing prospects, specifically within digital entrepreneurship. According to the researcher's scant analysis, a creative mindset may influence students' convictions regarding digital entrepreneurship. Creative individuals frequently exhibit a high level of proficiency in identifying favourable circumstances, which boosts their assurance in capitalising on these prospects, specifically within the domain of digital entrepreneurship. This emphasizes how crucial it is to foster and encourage student's creativity in order to strengthen their sense of entrepreneurial mindset and self-assurance in traversing the digital entrepreneurial environment.

Hypothesis five (H5), which suggests ES impacts DESE, is accepted. The test findings indicate that the p-value is 0.000 (below 0.05), the t-statistic is 3.663 (which exceeds 1.96), and the positive value in the original sample is 0.251. Therefore, it may be inferred that ES has a notable and beneficial impact on DESE. The results are consistent with Bandura's social cognitive theory (2002), emphasising the interaction between environmental and behavioural elements in promoting entrepreneurial intentions. The researchers found that family, social, financial, and university support might boost an individual's confidence in entrepreneurship, especially when embracing digital technologies. This highlights how a supportive environment is crucial in influencing individuals' ideas and behaviours, underscoring that favourable environmental element boost confidence in digital entrepreneurship endeavours.

The sixth hypothesis (H6), which investigates the indirect effect of SPC influences DEI via DESE, is accepted. The examination outcomes indicate that the initial sample contains a positive value of 0.265, a t-statistic of 2.977 (exceeding 1.96), and a p-value of 0.003 (falling below 0.05). As a result, it can be deduced that SPC via DESE exerts a substantial and favourable impact on DEI. As indicated by the indirect effect cited in Hypothesis 6, full mediation has occurred. This suggests that the SPC variable cannot substantially impact the DEI variable without the DESE variable acting as an intermediary. The study's findings support Bandura's (2002) social cognitive theory, which highlights the significance of behavioural and individual elements in encouraging entrepreneurial intentions. Based on a restricted analysis, it can be inferred that adding student creativity may not directly impact the development of digital entrepreneurial intentions. Nevertheless, adding digital entrepreneurial confidence greatly enhances the intentions of students to engage in digital entrepreneurship. This highlights the significance of prior digital entrepreneurial experience and participation in digital entrepreneurial activities in influencing student creativity and cultivating heightened intentions towards digital entrepreneurship.



The seventh hypothesis (H7), which investigates the indirect effect of ES influencing DEI via DESE, is accepted. The test findings indicate that the p-value is 0.020 (below 0.05), the t-statistic is 2.330 (which exceeds 1.96), and the positive value in the original sample is 0.105. Therefore, it can be inferred that ES exerts a substantial and beneficial impact on DEI via DESE. The partial mediation suggested by the indirect effect shown in Hypothesis 7 suggests that the ES variable may have a direct or indirect impact on the DEI variable through the DESE variable. These research findings align with Bandura's social cognitive theory (2002), emphasising the interplay of environmental and behavioural factors in fostering entrepreneurial intentions. From a limited analysis perspective, it is suggested that environmental support, encompassing family, social, financial, or university aspects, directly impacts students' digital entrepreneurship attitudes, behaviours, and intentions. Students' confidence in digital entrepreneurship gained through involvement and experience significantly enhances their digital entrepreneurial intentions. This underscores the intricate relationship between environmental support, digital entrepreneurial confidence, and the subsequent impact on students' intentions in the digital entrepreneurship realm.

The results of this study are in line with and reinforce the basic concept of social cognitive theory, that the presence of environmental factors (environmental support) influences the promotion of digital entrepreneurial intentions. Social cognitive theory strongly emphasizes how human behavior is shaped by mutual determinism, self-efficacy, and observational learning. The formation of individual behavior begins through self-efficacy and intentions related to digital entrepreneurship. Practically, creativity-based learning programs, training, internships, and short courses enhance students' confidence in digital entrepreneurship and entrepreneurial intentions. To this end, collaborating with universities, governments, and private sectors is crucial in executing such initiatives.

Conclusion

The results of this study conclude that digital entrepreneurial intention is positively, albeit not significantly, impacted by self-perceived creativity. Meanwhile, digital entrepreneurial intention is positively and significantly impacted by both environmental support and digital entrepreneurial self-efficacy. Moreover, digital entrepreneurial self-efficacy is also positively and significantly impacted by self-perceived creativity and environmental support. Then, the mediation models are also accepted. The findings indicate that digital entrepreneurial intention is substantially influenced by self-perceived creativity, as mediated by digital entrepreneurial self-efficacy. Then, environmental support has a positive and substantial impact on digital entrepreneurial intention through digital entrepreneurial self-efficacy.

Recommendation

Sustained development of students' creativity is critical. It can be accomplished through entrepreneurial learning activities at universities as well as through non-academic endeavours that foster the enhancement of creative abilities. Universities can substantially augment the digital entrepreneurial climate by organizing seminars and workshops about digital entrepreneurship. Also, the public and private sectors hold a vital function in fostering the development of university-educated digital entrepreneurs. This support may be extended by coordinating brief courses, internships, seminars, and training initiatives. Active participation of students in digital entrepreneurship initiatives enhances their self-assurance and reinforces their aspirations to achieve prosperity in the digital realm. Subsequent studies could investigate the impact of additional factors, such as financial capital, human capital, and role models, on forming digital entrepreneurial intentions.



References

- Abdelfattah, F., Al Halbusi, H., & Al-Brwani, R. M. (2022). Influence of self-perceived creativity and social media use in predicting E-entrepreneurial intention. *International Journal of Innovation Studies*, 6(3), 119–127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijis.2022.04.003>
- Abdelfattah, F., Al Halbusi, H., & Al-Brwani, R. M. (2023). Cognitive style and fostering of technological adaptation drive E-entrepreneurial of new mature business. *International Journal of Innovation Studies*, 7(3), 230–243. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijis.2023.04.001>
- Abdillah, W., & Hartono, J. (2015). *Partial Least Square (PLS): Alternatif structural equation modeling (SEM) dalam penelitian bisnis*. Yogyakarta: Penerbit Andi.
- Akhter, A., Anwarul Islam, K. M., Karim, M. M., & Bin Latif, W. (2022). Examining determinants of digital entrepreneurial intention: A case of graduate students. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 20(3), 153–163. [https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.20\(3\).2022.13](https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.20(3).2022.13)
- Akhter, A., Mobarak Karim, M., & Anwarul Islam, K. M. (2022). The Impact of Creativity and Innovativeness on Digital Entrepreneurship: Empirical Evidence from Bangladesh. *Journal of Asian Finance*, 9(3), 77–0082. <https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2022.vol9.no3.0077>
- Akter, B., & Iqbal, M. A. (2022). The Impact of Entrepreneurial Skills, Entrepreneurship Education Support Programmes and Environmental Factors on Entrepreneurial Behaviour: A Structural Equation Modelling Approach. *World Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development*, 18(2), 275–304. <https://doi.org/10.47556/J.WJEMSD.18.2.2022.6>
- Al Halbusi, H., Soto-Acosta, P., & Popa, S. (2022). Entrepreneurial passion, role models and self-perceived creativity as antecedents of e-entrepreneurial intention in an emerging Asian economy: The moderating effect of social media. In *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*. Springer US. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10490-022-09857-2>
- Alferaih, A. (2022). Starting a New Business? Assessing University Students' Intentions towards Digital Entrepreneurship in Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights*, 2(2), 100087. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijime.2022.100087>
- Alkhalailah, M. Y. (2021). the Role of Universities in Promoting Digital Entrepreneurial Intention Among University Students in. *Network Intelligent Studies*, IX(18).
- Al-Mamary, Y. H. S., & Alraja, M. M. (2022). Understanding entrepreneurship intention and behavior in the light of TPB model from the digital entrepreneurship perspective. *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights*, 2(2). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijime.2022.100106>
- Anoraga, K. S., Kurniawan, D. T., Az-zahra, F. F., & Prameka, A. S. (2023). Can Entrepreneurship Training Moderate the Relationship of Entrepreneurial Managerial Competencies to Digital Entrepreneur Intention in State University of Malang Students? (Vol. 2). *Atlantis Press International BV*. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-188-3_22
- Bandura, A. (2002). Bandura, Albert, 2002. Social cognitive theory in cultural context. *Applied Psychology*, 51(2), 269–290.
- Cahyo, S. D., Riyanti, A., & Kuwatno, K. (2023). The Relationship of Digital Literacy, Creativity, and The Use of E-Commerce to Online Entrepreneurship Intention. *Jurnal Cafeteria*, 4(2). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.51742/akuntansi.v4i2.1026>



- Clinton, B & Pertiwi, W., K. (2023). Internet Users in Indonesia to Reach 212.9 Million in Early 2023. *Kompas*. Retrieved from <https://tekno.kompas.com/read/2023/02/13/19300087/pengguna-internet-di-indonesia-tembus-212-9-juta-di-awal-2023>
- Darmanto, S., Darmawan, D., Ekopriyono, A., & Dhani, A. U. (2022). Development of digital entrepreneurial intention model in Uncertain Era. *Uncertain Supply Chain Management*, 10(3), 1091–1102. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.uscm.2022.7.050>
- Elnadi, M., & Gheith, M. H. (2023). The role of individual characteristics in shaping digital entrepreneurial intention among university students: Evidence from Saudi Arabia. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 47, 101236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2023.101236>
- Genoveva, X. H. G. (2023). Entrepreneurial Passion, Family Background and Perceived University Support on Chinese Students' Intention As Entrepreneur. *International Journal of Family Business Practices*, Vol 6, No 1 (2023), 63–73.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sinkovics, R. R. (2009). The use of partial least squares path modeling in international marketing. In R. R. Sinkovics & P. N. Ghauri (Eds.), *New Challenges to International Marketing* (Vol. 20, pp. 277–319). Emerald Group Publishing Limited. [https://doi.org/10.1108/S1474-7979\(2009\)0000020014](https://doi.org/10.1108/S1474-7979(2009)0000020014)
- Indonesian Ministry of Information. (2022). Menko Airlangga: Digital Economy in Indonesia Highest in Southeast Asia. Retrieved from <https://www.kominfo.go.id>
- Indonesian Ministry of Information. (2022). SMEs Upgrade Class—SMEs Go Digital. Retrieved from <https://www.kominfo.go.id>
- Kraus, S., Palmer, C., Kailer, N., Kallinger, F. L., & Spitzer, J. (2019). Digital entrepreneurship: A research agenda on new business models for the twenty-first century. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour and Research*, 25(2), 353–375. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEBR-06-2018-0425>
- Maheshwari, G., Kha, K. L., & Arokiasamy, A. R. A. (2022). Factors affecting students' entrepreneurial intentions: a systematic review (2005–2022) for future directions in theory and practice. In *Management Review Quarterly* (Vol. 73, Issue 4). Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-022-00289-2>
- Marlina, L., Senen, S. H., Yuniarsih, T., & Ahman, E. (2023). Human capital competitiveness model in the digital era of craft creative industry entrepreneurs. *Journal of Competitiveness*, 15(2), 96–120. <https://doi.org/10.7441/joc.2023.02.06>
- Mugiono, M., Dian, S., Prajanti, W., Wahyono, W., Pgri Batang, S., Ki, I. J., No, M., Selatan, P., & Batang, K. (2021). The Effect of Digital Literacy and Entrepreneurship Education Towards Online Entrepreneurship Intention Through Online Business Learning and Creativity At Marketing Department in Batang Regency. *Journal of Economic Education*, 10(1), 21–27.
- Rakib, M., Tawe, A., Azis, M., Syam, A., & Sanusi, D. A. (2020). Determinants Of Entrepreneurial Intention: Empirical Study Of Student Entrepreneurs. *Academy of Entrepreneurship Journal*, 26(3), 1–12.
- Roca-Barcelo, A., Gaines, A. M., Sheehan, A., Thompson, R., Chamberlain, R. C., Bos, B., & Belcher, R. N. (2021). Making academia environmentally sustainable: a student perspective. *The Lancet. Planetary Health*, 5(9), e576–e577. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(21\)00199-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(21)00199-6)
- Saeed, S., Yousafzai, S. Y., Yani-De-Soriano, M., & Muffatto, M. (2015). The Role of Perceived University Support in the Formation of Students' Entrepreneurial Intention. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 53(4), 1127–1145. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jsbm.12090>



- Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C.M., & Hair, J. F. (2017). Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling. *Handbook of Market Research*, 1-40.
- Satalkina, L., & Steiner, G. (2020). Digital entrepreneurship and its role in innovation systems: A systematic literature review as a basis for future research avenues for sustainable transitions. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12072764>
- Sugiyono. (2019). *Quantitative, Qualitative, R&D Research Methods*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Wahyudin, A. (2015). *Research methodology*. Semarang: UNNES Press.
- Wang, Y. S., Tseng, T. H., Wang, Y. M., & Chu, C. W. (2020). Development and validation of an internet entrepreneurial self-efficacy scale. *Internet Research*, 30(2), 653–675. <https://doi.org/10.1108/INTR-07-2018-0294>
- Wibowo, A., Narmaditya, B. S., Saptono, A., Effendi, M. S., Mukhtar, S., & Mohd Shafiai, M. H. (2023). Does Digital Entrepreneurship Education Matter for Students' Digital Entrepreneurial Intentions? The Mediating Role of Entrepreneurial Alertness. *Cogent Education*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2221164>
- Widiasih, N. P. S., & Darma, G. S. (2021). Millennial Digital Content Creator on New Normal Era: Factors Explaining Digital Entrepreneur Intention. *Asia Pacific Management and Business Application*, 010(02), 161–176. <https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.apmba.2021.010.02.4>
- Xin, B., & Ma, X. (2023). Gamifying online entrepreneurship education and digital entrepreneurial intentions: An empirical study. *Entertainment Computing*, 46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.entcom.2023.100552>
- Zhao, H., Hills, G. E., & Seibert, S. E. (2005). The mediating role of self-efficacy in the development of entrepreneurial intentions. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 90(6), 1265–1272. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.90.6.1265>